

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Br.)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/651,658	08/29/2000	Raymond J. Beach	IL-10571	1359
7	590 03/25/2004		EXAMINER	
Alan H Thompson			JACKSON, CORNELIUS H	
P O Box 808			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
L-703 Livermore, CA 94551			2828	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	·			
	09/651,658	BEACH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cornelius H. Jackson	2828	pu			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commu ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 O	ctober 2003 and 11 December 2	<u>2003</u> .				
	, 					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stag	ge			
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		2)			

Application/Control Number: 09/651,658

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgment

1. Acknowledgment is made that applicant's Amendment, filed on 29 October 2002, has been entered. Upon entrance of the Amendment, claims 1, 12 and 16 were amended. Claims 1-20 are now pending in the current application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 11-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meissner et al. (5936984) in view of Tang (6263007). Meissner et al. teach a polished **see col. 6, lines 59-67** uncoated doped (doped with Yb) laser rod **101**, having a first and second end, a first and second flanged endcap **103**, **105**, and an entire length operatively connected to their respective end, **see col. 4, lines 9-14**. Meissner et al. fail to teach that the laser rod is tapered, but teaches the laser rod is of laserable material with a circular cross-section, and that laserable material with other cross-sections may also be utilized, **see col. 10**, **lines 63-66**. Tang teach a tapered

Application/Control Number: 09/651,658

Art Unit: 2828

laser rod along the entire length **see Fig. 9**. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teaching of Tang to the laser rod of Meissner because Meissner teach the that laserable material with other cross-sections may also be utilized, **see col. 10**, **lines 63-66**.

In regard to claims 2-4, 6, and 8-10, Tang teaches all stated limitations, **see Fig. 9**.

In regard to claims 5, 12-15, Meissner et al. teach all stated limitations, **see cols.**4-7.

In regard to claims 7 and 11, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the taper value and/or diameter of the laser rod, since has been held that were the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

In regard to claims 16-20, the method of forming the device is rejected under the same grounds of the device itself, since the device made would be formed by the method.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/651,658

Art Unit: 2828

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cornelius H. Jackson whose telephone number is (571)272-1942. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 5:00, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (571)272-1941. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

chi

Don Wong
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800